

REMARKS

Claims 1-25 are pending in the present case. No new matter has been added.

ELECTION OF SPECIES

In the Office Action mailed January 24, 2005, the Examiner has required species election. Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction. For purposes of satisfying the restriction, the Applicant hereby elects, with traverse, species 3, Figures 8-9, as indicated by the restriction. Applicant makes no statement as to whether or not the species are patentably distinct.

Applicant identifies Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 19, 20, 21, and 25 to read on Species 3, Figures 8-9.

Applicant respectfully asserts that independent Claims 1, 19 and 25 are generic because Claims 1, 19 and 25 read on all species claimed by the present application.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejected Claims.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP

Date: 2/24/2005



Anthony C. Murabito
Reg. No. 35,295

Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113
(408) 938-9060